#### Mode Choice Analysis with Imprecise Location Information

Toshiyuki Yamamoto & Ryosuke Komori

Nagoya University



#### Background

Public transit such as LRT and Flexible bus is regarded one of the alternatives for EST projects, which improves the access and egress conditions to public transit.

Centroid of TAZ is used as the origin and destination in conventional mode choice models

## Location information of trip is not precise enough

#### Objective

Effective use of large-scale person trip data to investigate the effects of access condition to the station and bus stop

by

- Combine information to get precise location information
- Develop a model to overcome the impreciseness

#### Chukyo Metro. Person trip data



## Zone systemTrue access = 730 m



## Zone system True access = 730 m Access to zonal centroid = 490 m



# Zone system True access = 730 m Access to zonal centroid = 490 m If larger zone system is used = 330 m



#### **Choice structure**

Nested logit model with

- Line haul mode in upper level
- Access and egress modes for train in lower level



#### Our approach: egress

- Trip to governmental office, hospital and school can be identified by information on destination type
- Multiple governmental offices are not located together, and usually, one zone contain at most one governmental office
- The same thing applies hospital and school
   Note: trips to small clinics might be included as noise
   Precise location and access to station and bus stop is calculated by using GIS data

#### Destinations

20 zones with largest number of trip destinations for each type of destination are used



#### **Descriptive analysis**

#### Relationship between egress and auto share



#### Gov. office: 1981 to 2001



#### Hospital: 1981 to 2001



#### School: 1981 to 2001



This university operated school bus from station

#### Location choice in motorization

Hospitals which located after 1970

	Year	Length (km)	Rank
Hospital A	1984	4.9	1 <sup>st</sup> /16
Hospital B	1974	2.9	4 <sup>th</sup> /16
Hospital C	1972	<b>2.8</b>	5 <sup>th</sup> /16

#### Buildings which moved after 1960

		Length (km)		
	Year	Before	After	
Municipality Hall D	1966	1.1	1.4	
City Hall E	1976	0.2	1.0	
Hospital F	1974	0.4	0.8	
Hospital G	1978	0.6	0.6	
IATBR2006				

#### **Our approach: access**

About home-based trip, it is impossible to identify the house, origin of the trip
 Census data provide distribution of residents of specific age/sex within survey zone

 Access length is treated as probabilistic variable in estimating the mode choice model

#### **Calculation of choice probability**



#### **Calculation of choice probability**

Q(j): Probability of living in city block j
 Calculated by census and treated as known

P(i| j): Probability of choosing mode i given that he lives in city block j

Precise access information is used as explanatory variable

P(i): Marginal probability of choosing mode i is calculated by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ P(i| j)Q(j)

 Unknown parameters to be estimated are only utility function, which is indifferent among Q(j)

### Effects of preciseness of egress info. (zone system is used for access)

#### Coefficient estimate and t-stat. in parenthesis

	GIS based	Zone system
Upper level		
Bus egress	-2.0 (-3.4)	-1.7 (-4.2)
Lower level		
Sta. egress	-2.8 (-18.9)	-1.8 (-17.8)
Log-likelihood	-2944	-3063

GIS based egress has

- Better log-likelihood
- Larger coefficient estimates in absolute value

#### **₽**

## Effects of preciseness of access (GIS base is used for egress)

#### Coefficient estimate and t-stat. in parenthesis

	Proposed model	Zone system	Larger zone
Upper level			
Bus access	-9.2 (-5.6)	-2.5 (-8.8)	-2.5 (-9.3)
Lower level			
Bus access	-1.4 (-4.0)	-1.2 (-4.6)	-1.1 (-4.7)
Sta. access	-1.4 (-11.9)	-0.8 (-11.8)	-0.7 (-11.0)
Log-likelihood	-2900	-2944	-2944

Proposed model has

- Better log-likelihood
- Larger coefficient estimates in absolute value LATBR2006

#### **Comparison between access & egress**

Access: proposed method			
Egress: GIS based			
Upper level	Coef.	t-stat.	
Bus access	-9.2	(-5.6)	
Bus egress	-1.8	(-3.2)	
Lower level			
Sta. access	-1.4	(-11.8)	
Sta. egress	-2.9	(-18.7)	

Use of bus: access to bus stop from home is dominant

Terminal mode for train: egress has larger effect than access

#### Conclusion

 Efficient use of conventional person trip data is proposed, and confirmed by empirical analysis

Rail ridership can be increased by locating closer to station, but move from 3 km to 2 km doesn't mean anything

Egress from station is more important than access to station